Nanofat Grafting: Basic Research and Clinical Applications
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The first reports on autologous fat grafting were published in the early twentieth century.1 It became more widely implemented after the introduction of liposuction by Illouz in the 1980s.2 Since the standardization of the fat grafting technique by Coleman one decade later, lipofilling has become a very important and valuable tool in plastic surgery.3,4 Adipose-derived stem cells were first discovered in 2001.5 Since then, much research into these multipotent mesenchymal-derived progenitor cells has been performed. Currently, the applications of adipose-derived stem cell therapy extend far beyond the field of plastic surgery.6–11

The initial goal of fat grafting was to treat volume losses created by disease, trauma, or aging. Fat was injected with relatively large blunt cannulas (±2 mm diameter). For delicate areas such as eyelids and lips, smaller injection cannulas became popular. Lipofilling with cannulas as small as 0.7 mm in diameter, also called microfat grafting, has been described.12–15 For these indications, fat is harvested with small-hole cannulas
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to obtain a lipoaspirate with smaller fat particles. We previously described microfat grafting in the deep dermal layer of the skin with 23-gauge sharp needles for treatment of fine rhytides in the face.16

To work even more superficially with still finer sharp needles (27 gauge), the harvested fat was mechanically emulsified and filtered until a liquid suspension was obtained. We call this “nanofat.”

In this article, we describe the technique and report our experience with nanofat grafting. We present three clear clinical cases. In addition, we provide the results of an experimental study to determine the cellular content of the nanofat. The viability of the adipocytes and, more importantly, the number and activity of the adipose-derived stem cells are investigated. The findings of the nanofat sample analysis are compared to two other lipoaspirates obtained by standard fat harvesting techniques.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

**Macrofat, Microfat, and Nanofat Harvesting**

Liposuction was performed in a 40-year-old female patient during an abdominoplasty procedure. Informed consent to use the lipoaspirate for analysis was obtained from the patient. Fat was harvested from the lower abdomen after infiltration with a modified Klein solution (lidocaine 800 mg/liter and adrenaline 1:1,000,000). The harvested fat was rinsed and filtered through a sterile nylon cloth with 0.5-mm pore size that was mounted over a sterile canister. Two different aspiration cannulas (Fig. 1) were used. Three different lipoaspirate samples were analyzed.

In detail, a high-negative-pressure liposuction procedure was performed using a standard liposuction device. In the first group, a standard 3-mm Mercedes type liposuction cannula with large side holes (2 × 7 mm) (Fig. 1) was used. In the second and third groups, fat was harvested with a multiport 3-mm cannula with sharp side holes of 1 mm in diameter (Fig. 1); both were obtained from Tulip Medical Products (San Diego, Calif.). After saline rinsing and filtering, no further processing of the lipoaspirates from the first group (called “macrofat”) and second group (called “microfat”) was performed. In the third group, the lipoaspirate was mechanically emulsified after rinsing. Emulsification of the fat was achieved by shifting the fat between two 10-cc syringes connected to each other by a female-to-female Luer-Lok connector. (See Video, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which illustrates how the microfat lipoaspirate is processed into nanofat, http://links.lww.com/PRS/A855.) After 30 passes, the fat changed into an emulsion. At the end of the fragmentation process, the fat became liquid and took on a whitish appearance (Fig. 1). After this emulsification process, the fatty liquid was again filtered over the sterile nylon cloth and the effluent was collected in a sterile recipient (see Video, Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/PRS/A855). This was done to remove the connective tissue remnants that would block the fine needles. This effluent is called “nanofat.”

**Patients**

Between May of 2010 and September of 2012, nanofat grafting was performed in 67 cases for a variety of indications. It was used for skin rejuvenation purposes in combination with classic microfat grafting or sharp needle intradermal fat...
Nanofat grafting was used for the rejuvenation of perioral skin [38 cases (58 percent)], glabellar skin [15 cases (23 percent)], or sun-damaged skin at the breast cleavage [eight cases (11 percent)]. Four scars (6 percent) and two patients with dark lower eyelids (2 percent) were treated with nanofat as well. In all cases, the nanofat was prepared as described above. A 27-gauge needle was mounted on the syringe for superficial intradermal and subdermal injection. Injection was performed until a yellowish discoloration of the skin showed up. [See Video, Supplemental Digital Content 2, which demonstrates the injection of nanofat at the lower eyelid (note the yellowish discoloration of the skin after injection), http://links.lww.com/PRS/A856; and see Video, Supplemental Digital Content 3, which shows the injection of nanofat at the area of the breast cleavage (note the superficial fanwise intradermal injections in which the whole skin area can be covered), http://links.lww.com/PRS/A857.] Three clinical examples are described below.

Adipocyte Viability

The viability of the lipoaspirates, obtained by the three harvesting techniques, was evaluated using fluorescence microscopy after a live/dead staining. After rinsing the lipoaspirates, 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline solution supplemented with 2 µl of calcein AM (1 mg/ml) (AnaSpec, Fremont, Calif.) and 2 µl of propidium iodide (1 mg/ml) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, Mo.) was added. Lipoaspirates were incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature, washed twice with phosphate-buffered saline solution, and evaluated by fluorescence microscopy (Olympus inverted Research System Microscope, type U-RFL-T, Cell software; Olympus Belgium, Aartselaar, Belgium).

Cell Culture

Stem Cell Isolation

Isolation of the adipose-derived stem cells from the different lipoaspirates (macrofat, microfat, and nanofat) was as follows. An equal aliquot...
of 0.1% collagenase type II (Sigma-Aldrich) in phosphate-buffered saline containing 1% penicillin/streptomycin was added to the lipoaspirates. The mixture was incubated for 45 minutes at 37°C on a gyratory shaker (Laboshake; C. Gerhardt, Königswinter, Germany). Fetal bovine serum was added to a final concentration of 10% to stop enzyme activity followed by centrifugation at 800 rpm for 5 minutes. The overlying fluid and adipose phases were aspirated and discarded. The stromal cell pellet was resuspended in phosphate-buffered saline and filtered through a 70-μm Falcon cell strainer (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, N.J.). The amount of cells was counted with a Türk solution (Merck, Whitehouse Station, N.J.). Half of the stromal vascular fraction was cultivated in a T75 Falcon flask in standard medium [MesenPRO basal medium supplemented with MesenPro RS growth supplement (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, Calif.) and L-glutamine]. As an additional control experiment, the other half of the stromal vascular fraction was further processed through magnetic-activated cell sorting, with a CD34 kit obtained from Miltenyi Biotec (Auburn, Calif.) according to the company’s protocol. Briefly, cells were suspended in magnetic-activated cell-sorting buffer (300 μl) and Fc receptor-blocking reagent (100 μl) was added to avoid unspecific CD34 labeling of cells by means of Fc receptors. CD34 microbeads (100 μl) were added to the cell suspension and incubated for 30 minutes at 4°C. After adding magnetic-activated cell-sorting rinse buffer (5 ml) and centrifuging (5 minutes, 800 rpm), the cell pellet was resuspended in 300 μl of magnetic-activated cell-sorting rinse buffer. The cell suspension was passed through the magnetic-activated cell-sorting column (Miltenyi

Video 3. Supplemental Digital Content 3 shows the injection of nanofat at the area of the breast cleavage. http://links.lww.com/PRS/A857. Note the superficial fanwise intradermal injections in which the whole skin area can be covered.

Fig. 2. Fluorescence microscopy of lipoaspirates after calcein AM/propidium iodide staining. (Above) Macrofat (lipoaspirate harvested with a 3-mm standard cannula). (Center) Microfat (lipoaspirate harvested with a 3-mm multiport cannula). (Below) Nanofat (lipoaspirate harvested with a 3-mm multiport cannula followed by an emulsification procedure). Macrofat (above) and microfat (center) show good adipocyte viability and adipose tissue structure in contrast with the nanofat (below), where no adipocytes and no normal adipose tissue structure are visible.
Biotec) twice. The CD34+ fraction was collected, counted, and cultivated in a T25 Falcon flask in standard medium.

### Table 1. Results of the Cell Count from the Three Single Fat Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SVF*</th>
<th>CD34+ Fraction*</th>
<th>CD34+/SVF Ratio (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macrofat (standard cannula)</td>
<td>3,075,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfat (multiperforated cannula)</td>
<td>2,360,000</td>
<td>105,000</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanofat (multiperforated cannula plus emulsification)</td>
<td>1,975,000</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SVF, stromal vascular fraction.
*No. of cells per 100 ml of lipoaspirate.

**Stem Cell Differentiation**

The adherent stromal vascular fraction cells and the CD34+ cells were seeded onto Thermanox

---

**Fig. 3.** Phase-contrast microscopic images of the stem cell cultures derived from the stromal vascular fraction after 7 days. *(Above)* Stem cell culture derived from the macrofat. *(Center)* Stem cell culture derived from the microfat. *(Below)* Stem cell culture derived from the nanofat. Note a typical fibroblastic morphology of the proliferating cells in the three samples.

**Fig. 4.** Phase contrast microscopic images of stem cells derived from the three lipoaspirates and cultured in adipogenic culture medium for 10 days. Stem cells derived from the stromal vascular fraction from macrofat *(above)*, microfat *(center)*, and nanofat *(below)*. Note the appearance of fat vacuoles in all 3 samples.
coverslips (Nunc, Roskilde, Germany) at a concentration of 40,000 cells per well in a 24-well plate (Greiner Bio-One, Gloucestershire, United Kingdom) and cultured in standard medium. After confluence, standard medium was replaced by adipogenic differentiation medium (Stempro Adipogenic Medium; Invitrogen) and cultured for 14 to 21 days.

Adipocyte differentiation was noticed by the intracellular accumulation of lipid droplets. Lipid droplets were evaluated by phase-contrast microscopy and verified using light microscopy after oil red O staining.

RESULTS

Adipocyte Viability

After calcein AM/propidium iodide staining, the three different lipoaspirate samples were evaluated on their adipose tissue quality and adipocyte viability. In the macrofat (Fig. 2, above) and microfat (Fig. 2, center), adipose tissue with a normal histologic structure could be visualized. The adipocytes were viable (green), and only a very few dead cells could be observed. This was in high contrast with the nanofat (Fig. 2, below), where the adipose tissue structure was completely disturbed and replaced by an oily emulsion. No viable adipocytes were noticed in the nanofat.

Stem Cell Isolation and Culture

After isolation of the stromal vascular fraction and the CD34+ subpopulation from the three lipoaspirates, a cell count was performed. The number of viable stem cells derived from the stromal vascular fraction ranged from 1.9 to 3.0 × 10^6 cells/100 ml lipoaspirate, independent of the processing method of the adipose tissue. The number of CD34+ cells in this stromal vascular fraction ranged from 0.1 to 0.2 × 10^6 cells/100 ml lipoaspirate, resulting in a 4.5 to 6.5 percent CD34+–stromal vascular fraction ratio (Table 1).

The stromal vascular fraction and CD34+ cells were cultured in standard medium. Adherent cells in both stromal vascular fraction and CD34+ fractions formed monolayers and presented a fibroblastic morphology. No differences in cell cultures were noticed between the three different lipoaspirate samples (Fig. 3).

Stem Cell Differentiation

To demonstrate the stem cell nature of the stromal vascular fraction and CD34+ fraction, cells were plated in control or adipogenic medium for differentiation into mature adipocytes. After 10 days of culture in adipogenic medium, phase contrast microscopic evaluation showed the presence of spherical cells containing lipid vacuoles, indicating differentiation into the adipogenic lineage. No differences in quality and quantity of adipocytes between the different lipoaspirate samples were noticed (Fig. 4). Stem cells cultured in standard medium remained fibroblast-like. Light microscopy after oil red O staining confirmed the presence of...
large adipocytes, independent of the liposaprate processing method (Fig. 5).

**Clinical Results**

Between May of 2010 and September of 2012, nanofat grafting was performed in 67 cases. Intradermal injection was performed with a 27-gauge needle. The endpoint of injection was until a yellowish discoloration of the skin appeared. This discoloration usually disappeared a few hours after the injection. The clinical results gradually improved over time and were maximal from 4 to 6 months postoperatively. There were no important complications seen in this series. No infections, fat cysts, granulomas, or other unwanted side effects were observed. In injections of larger areas such as the décolletage or the face, there was a temporary erythema of the injected area that lasted for 1.5 to 2 days.

**CASE REPORTS**

Case 1

A 41-year-old woman complained about fan-shaped vertical rhytides and sun-damaged skin in the décolleté area (Fig. 6). The rhytides were injected intradermally in a longitudinal fashion with 6 cc of microfat using a 23-gauge needle. Injection was performed until a slight overcorrection was seen. Next, the whole triangular surface of the décolleté area was injected at a superficial subdermal level with 12 cc of nanofat using a 27-gauge needle in repeated fan-shaped patterns. The first few weeks after injection, the treated area appeared overcorrected but gradually normalized toward the result seen at 3 months (Fig. 6).

Case 2

A 33-year-old woman sought improvement of the aesthetics of her lower eyelids. She presented with a moderate bulging of the lower eyelid fat compartments with a marked eyelid-cheek junction. The skin of the lower eyelid was heavily pigmented with an extension of this pigmentation along the nasojugal groove (Fig. 7, left). The patient mentioned that the discoloration had been present since childhood. A lower eyelid blepharoplasty with fat redraping over the lower and lateral orbital rim was proposed...
together with intradermal infiltration of nanofat to improve the pigmentation of the skin. The operation was performed under local anesthesia combined with intramuscular midazolam sedation. A classical infraciliary incision was carried out for the fat redraping and blepharoplasty procedure. After completion of the blepharoplasty, the eyelid skin and the nasojugal groove were injected intradermally with 1.6 cc of nanofat per side. At the end of the injections, the whole lower eyelid was colored yellowish like a giant xanthelasma. The whitish discoloration disappeared after 1 month. The lower eyelid skin remained erythematous for 3 months, followed by a gradual lightening of the skin (Fig. 7, right).

Case 3

A 61-year-old woman consulted for perioral rejuvenation, mainly the correction of the vertical rhytides of the upper and lower lips. She refused to have any laser resurfacing procedure (Fig. 8).

Microfat grafting at the rhytides of the lip together with nanofat grafting of the whole perioral region and cheeks were performed. Then, 4 cc of microfat was injected with a 23-gauge needle into the rhytides (sharp needle intradermal fat grafting technique), and 6 cc of nanofat was used for intradermal injection into the skin of the upper and lower lips and in both cheek areas.

DISCUSSION

For microfat grafting, usually performed in the facial area, blunt injection cannulas ranging from 0.7 to 0.9 mm are used with very good results. To provide a smooth injection through these fine cannulas, the fat particles need to be sufficiently small. If the fat particles are too large, passage through the injection cannula would be difficult. A disrupted injection will follow, which may result in an unequal lipofilling with irregular fat deposits.

To provide a lipoaspirate with smaller particles for microfat grafting procedures at the lower eyelid, Trepsat used a multiperforated harvesting cannula of 2 mm with 1-mm side holes and 19-gauge injection cannulas. A multiperforated liposuction cannula 3 mm in diameter with 2-mm side holes was used to harvest fat for injection of other parts of the face. Nguyen et al. described the use of a similar multiperforated harvesting cannula with side holes of 1 mm. Coleman and Mazzola reported the use of injection cannulas up to 22 gauge. Nguyen et al. applied blunt injection 25-gauge cannulas in a mouse model and mentioned the use of blunt 21- or 23-gauge cannulas for fat grafting in clinical cases.

To ensure a smooth fat injection through 27-gauge sharp needles, the aspirated fat has to be processed mechanically to provide a liquid fat emulsion, which we call nanofat. A yield of 1 ml of nanofat per 10 ml of lipoaspirate can be expected using a nanofat processing procedure as described above.

Our initial goal of local injections with nanofat using 27-gauge needles was to use it as filler for superficial rhytides. Because of the reduced number of viable adipocytes in the emulsified fat, the filling capacity of nanofat is obviously very limited. After noting a clear skin rejuvenation effect in our clinical cases, we started to use nanofat to improve skin quality.

Other studies used lipofilling as an instrument for skin regenerative purposes such as treatment of radiotherapy ulcers or scars. One study reported a clear and statistically significant improvement in dermal elasticity after injection of facial scars in 14 patients. The mechanism for this regenerative effect on damaged skin remains unknown. Improved elasticity is presumably a consequence of increased collagen and elastin synthesis and remodeling. These effects are most likely triggered by stem cells rather than by grafted adipocytes. Moreover, the nanofat sample analysis revealed that adipocytes were destroyed during the emulsification process.

To isolate stem cells, the stromal vascular fraction has to be separated from the adipocytes. The stromal vascular fraction contains different types of cells, such as endothelial cells,
monocytes, macrophages, granulocytes, and lymphocytes. The stromal vascular fraction also includes a substantial amount of mesenchymal stem cells (adipose-derived stem cells). These multipotent stem cells have the ability to adhere to plastic culture plates and to form fibroblast-like colonies. Likewise, stem cells can be isolated by culturing the adherent stromal vascular fraction cells. It is remarkable that these multipotent stem cells are richly present in fat tissue, in contrast to bone marrow or other sources of multipotent mesenchymal stem cells. Adipose-derived stem cells have an extensive proliferative capacity and the ability to differentiate into the mesoderm, ectoderm, and endoderm lineages. It has been demonstrated that cells with a CD34+ phenotype represent a cell population with a great stem cell proliferative capacity. In this study, stem cells were isolated in two ways. First, cells from the stromal vascular fraction were selected on their adherence to the plastic plate. These adherent cells were further cultured. Second, as a control experiment, the CD34+ subpopulation was isolated from the stromal vascular fraction and cultured as well.

The lipoaspirate viability evaluation shows that the nanofat sample has lost the normal fat tissue structure and that adipocytes are eliminated during nanofat processing. However, a large number of good quality mesenchymal stem cells are still present in the nanofat sample.

Classic fat grafting is mostly used to build up large volumes, especially in breast reconstructive cases. In those cases, it is crucial to preserve as many viable adipocytes as possible. Nanofat does not have the capacity to build up a significant fat volume. Consequently, nanofat is evidently not suitable for these indications. In fact, because of the lack of adipocytes, the volumetric effect of nanofat is obviously very limited. Therefore, the indications for nanofat injection are different when compared with microfat grafting. Usually, nanofat grafting is combined with other modalities of microfat grafting such as sharp needle intradermal fat grafting to obtain a soft-tissue filling effect, where the nanofat is layered more fanwise in an intradermal level to enhance the skin quality. In our experience, as opposed to microfat, the effect of nanofat usually appears with a delay of 4 weeks to 3 months. In the case where dark circles were treated, the beneficiary effect was preceded by a rather prolonged erythematous phase, which most likely is attributable to the soft-tissue rearrangement.

In fact, it may be questioned whether a nanofat transfer actually is a “fat grafting” procedure, as adipocytes did not survive the emulsification process. The major effect of nanofat injection is probably a stem cell activity. Likewise, nanofat injection might rather be considered as an in vivo tissue-engineering process. It might be logical to discard the dead adipocyte fraction from the nanofat and to inject the purified stromal vascular fraction only. However, isolating the stromal vascular fraction out of the nanofat before injection in routine clinical cases would be time consuming, complicated, and expensive. Besides, it requires specific laboratory equipment and experience. Moreover, it is known that apoptotic cells release cytokines and attract macrophages that induce growth factors and play an important role in regeneration of the damaged tissue. Thus, coinjection of fragmented adipocytes might have a stimulating effect on stem cell differentiation and tissue regeneration.

This is the first report of a new fat grafting technique that we have been using since May of 2010. Clinical assessment was performed by our observations and preoperative and postoperative photographs. No other objective measurements or objective skin quality tests have been performed. In addition to the three clinical cases, we present the results of our laboratory pilot study comparing three fat samples. More fat samples have to be analyzed to determine the content of the nanofat in a statistically significant way. Furthermore, histologic evidence of the nanofat effect is not present yet. Further studies will have to determine whether the clinical observations can be correlated with preoperative and postoperative histologic analysis. These studies are in progress.

CONCLUSIONS
Nanofat injection may become another concept in the lipofilling area. In this study, it seems that stem cells are responsible for the clinical results seen after nanofat injections. Clinically, nanofat appears suitable for skin rejuvenation procedures. Further studies have to be performed to find statistically significant evidence for the nanofat effect.
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